Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/808,681	FIGDOR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen L. Rawlings	1643
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>Stephen L. Rawlings</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeremy M. Jay</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 September 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Art rejection over U.S. Patent No. 5,844,075-A		
Claims discussed: 1-4		
Prior art documents discussed: U.S. Patent No. 5,844,075-A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner explained that an updated search and consideration of the prior art revealed that U.S. Patent No. 5,844,075-A teaches a peptide that is indintiguishable from the claimed peptide, such that it would be necessary reject the claims as being anticipated by this patent.